



TEST REPORT

| Report Ref. | LEI20121628A Original | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------|
| Date Received | 17/12/2020 | Date Issued | 22/12/2020 |

| Company Name & Address | Camira Fabrics Limited |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| | Meltham Mills, MELTHAM |
| | , HD9 4AY |
| | |
| | |
| Contact Name | Rebecca Grimes |

| Order Number | 83A09880 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | |
| Sample Description | Main Line Plus |
| Ref / Style Number | 450701 |
| Colour | Charcoal |
| Quality | Main Line Plus |
| Supplier | Camira Fabrics,com |
| End Use | Contract Upholstery |
| No Of Samples | 1 |
| Quoted Fibre Composition | 67% Wool, 20% FR Viscose, 13% Viscose |
| Weight / Width | 425 g/m2 552 g/lin.m / 130cm |
| Retailer | General |

| Test | Method | Sample | Result |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|---------|
| Martindale Abrasion Resistance | BS EN ISO 12947-2: 2016 | | See |
| | | | Results |

Tests marked (^) in this report have been performed by an approved 3rd party laboratory.

Tests marked (*) in this report are not included in our UKAS scope of accreditation.

Sam Parnell

(Jobsheet Technician)









Martindale Abrasion Resistance BS EN ISO 12947-2: 2016 Conditioning Parameters: 20°C±2°C & 65% rH±4% rH

| | Results | Requirement |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Shade change @ 3000 | 4-5 | |
| | Abrasion Resistance* | |
| Specimen 1 | 50,000 Revs | |
| Specimen 2 | 50,000 Revs | |
| Specimen 3 | 50,000 Revs | |
| Overall result** | 50,000 Revs | |
| Test Information | | |
| Test load: | 12 kPa | |
| Fabric type | Woven | |
| Breakdown criteria | Two threads completely broken | |
| Inspection interval | Every 10,000 Revs | |
| Foam used | Yes | |
| Preparatory treatment | No | |
| *The abrasion resistance result is the last inspection point at which no breakdown was observed. | | |
| **The overall result is the lowest individual test result of all the test specimens tested. | | |

Overall Test Result: See Results

Uncertainty: ±17.1%

| Report Type | Issue Date | Revision Reason | Revision Description |
|-------------|------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Original | 22-Dec-20 | Complete Original Issue | N/A |

The client acknowledges and agrees that any services provided and/or reports produced by Intertek are done so within the limits of the scope of work agreed pursuant to the client's specific instructions. This report relates specifically to the sample(s) tested that were drawn and delivered by the client or their nominated third party. Intertek does not make any representation or warranty for any bulk samples or certify the bulk samples received from the client. Furthermore, Intertek does not provide a warranty or verification on the sample(s) representing any specific goods, material and/or shipment and only relate to the sample(s) as received and tested. Intertek have aimed to conduct the review on a diligent and careful basis and we do not accept any liability to you for any loss arising out of or in connection with this report, in contract, tort, by statute or otherwise, except in the event of our gross negligence or willful misconduct. In no event, will the contents of any reports or any extracts, excerpts or parts of any reports be distributed or published without the prior written consent of Intertek in each instance. Only the client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report (and then only in its entirety). Any such third parties to whom this report may be circulated rely on the content of the report solely at their own risk.

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor of k = 2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%. Unless otherwise specified all compliance and pass/fail statements are binary simple acceptance based on the tolerance interval and, with the exception of graded methods, a test uncertainty ratio greater (TUR) than 4:1. For graded methods the TUR will drop to as low as 0.5:1 when the tolerance limits are within a grade division of the upper scale limit. The Uncertainty budgets are stated for each Test method, these are for reference, and should be considered when results are on or close to Specification Limits / Requirements and in such cases it should be noted that the risk of false acceptance or rejection may be as high as 50%, for further information please refer to ILAC G8.

LAB REPORT LEI20121628A Original : Page 2 of 2





